

Notice of Meeting

Economic Prosperity, Environment and Highways Board

**Date & time**

Thursday, 14 April
2016 at 10.30 am

Place

Council Chamber,
County Hall, Kingston
upon Thames, Surrey
KT1 2DN

Contact

Huma Younis or Rianna
Hanford, Room 122,
County Hall, Kingston upon
Thames
Room 122, County Hall
Tel 0208 213 2725, 0208
213 2662

Chief Executive

David McNulty

huma.younis@surreycc.gov.uk,
rianna.hanford@surreycc.gov.uk

If you would like a copy of this agenda or the attached papers in another format, eg large print or braille, or another language please either call 020 8541 9122, write to Democratic Services, Room 122, County Hall, Penrhyn Road, Kingston upon Thames, Surrey KT1 2DN, Minicom 020 8541 8914, fax 020 8541 9009, or email huma.younis@surreycc.gov.uk, rianna.hanford@surreycc.gov.uk.

This meeting will be held in public. If you would like to attend and you have any special requirements, please contact Huma Younis or Rianna Hanford, Room 122, County Hall, Kingston upon Thames on 0208 213 2725, 0208 213 2662.

Elected Members

Mr David Harmer (Chairman), Mr Bob Gardner (Vice-Chairman), Mrs Nikki Barton, Mr Mike Bennison, Mrs Natalie Bramhall, Mr Stephen Cooksey, Mrs Pat Frost, Mr David Goodwin, Dr Zully Grant-Duff, Mr Ken Gulati, Mr Peter Hickman, Mr George Johnson, Mr Richard Wilson and Mrs Victoria Young

TERMS OF REFERENCE

The Committee is responsible for the following areas,

Performance, Finance and Risk Monitoring for the E&I Directorate	Road Safety	Community Transport
Strategic Planning	Concessionary Travel	Economic Development and the Rural Economy
Economic Prosperity	Minerals	Housing
Countryside	Parking Regulation and Enforcement	Local Transport Plan
Waste and recycling	Climate Change and Carbon Energy	Biodiversity and Wildlife
Transport Service Infrastructure	Rights of Way	Planning Services
Aviation	Cycle Routes	Street Lighting
Highway Maintenance	Gypsy and Traveller Sites	Flood Prevention and Infrastructure

AGENDA

1 APOLOGIES

2 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

To receive any declarations of disclosable pecuniary interests from Members in respect of any item to be considered at the meeting.

Notes:

- In line with the Relevant Authorities (Disclosable Pecuniary Interests) Regulations 2012, declarations may relate to the interest of the member, or the member's spouse or civil partner, or a person with whom the member is living as husband or wife, or a person with whom the member is living as if they were civil partners and the member is aware they have the interest.
- Members need only disclose interests not currently listed on the Register of disclosable Pecuniary Interests.
- Members must notify the Monitoring Officer of any interests disclosed at the meeting so they may be added to the Register.
- Members are reminded that they must not participate in any item where they have a disclosable pecuniary interest.

3 CALL IN OF CABINET DECISION: THE AGREEMENT WITH SURREY WILDLIFE TRUST FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF THE COUNTY COUNCIL'S COUNTRYSIDE ESTATE

(Pages 1
- 16)

Purpose of the report: *Scrutiny of Services and Budgets*

To scrutinise the agreement with Surrey Wildlife Trust for the management of the county council's countryside estate, approved by the Cabinet on 22 March 2016.

4 DATE OF NEXT MEETING: 21 APRIL 2016

The next meeting of the Committee will be held at 10.30am on 21 April 2016.

David McNulty
Chief Executive
Published: 6 April 2016

MOBILE TECHNOLOGY AND FILMING – ACCEPTABLE USE

Those attending for the purpose of reporting on the meeting may use social media or mobile devices in silent mode to send electronic messages about the progress of the public parts of the meeting. To support this, County Hall has wifi available for visitors – please ask at reception for details.

Anyone is permitted to film, record or take photographs at council meetings with the Chairman's consent. Please liaise with the council officer listed in the agenda prior to the start of the meeting so that the Chairman can grant permission and those attending the meeting can be made aware of any filming taking place.

Use of mobile devices, including for the purpose of recording or filming a meeting, is subject to no interruptions, distractions or interference being caused to the PA or Induction Loop systems, or any general disturbance to proceedings. The Chairman may ask for mobile devices to be switched off in these circumstances.

It is requested that if you are not using your mobile device for any of the activities outlined above, it be switched off or placed in silent mode during the meeting to prevent interruptions and interference with PA and Induction Loop systems.

Thank you for your co-operation

This page is intentionally left blank



Economic Prosperity, Environment and Highways Board
14 April 2016

The Agreement with Surrey Wildlife Trust for the management of the County Council's Countryside Estate

Purpose of the report: *Scrutiny of Services and Budgets*

To scrutinise the agreement with Surrey Wildlife Trust for the management of the County Council's Countryside Estate, approved by the Cabinet on 22 March 2016.

Introduction:

- 1 On 22 March 2016 the Cabinet approved the agreement with Surrey Wildlife Trust for the management of the County Council's countryside estate.
- 2 David Goodwin, George Johnson and Mike Bennison of the Economic Prosperity, Environment and Highways board have called-in this Cabinet decision for reconsideration by the Board.

Background:

- 3 The Cabinet's decision regarding the agreement with Surrey Wildlife Trust for the management of the County Council's countryside estate was published on 22 March 2016. The details of the Cabinet's decision extracted from the decision sheet, are stated below:

RESOLVED:

1. That the Surrey Wildlife Trust decision and recommendation be noted and endorsed.
2. That the Strategic Director for Environment and Infrastructure, in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Environment and Planning and the Director of Legal, Democratic and Cultural Services be required to work with Surrey Wildlife Trust to ensure the business plan is delivered.

Reasons for Decisions:

Approval of the recommendations will put in place a mechanism to make the management of the Countryside Estate more efficient whilst improving and maintaining the countryside, delivering improvements for visitors, reducing the Council's financial contribution to nil by December 2021, and agreeing the distribution of any surplus income thereafter.

- 4 On 31 March 2016, Democratic Services received notification that three Members (David Goodwin, George Johnson and Mike Bennison) of the Economic Prosperity, Environment and Highways board had called-in this decision of the Cabinet. The reasons for the call-in are as follows:
 - a. The endorsement of the Surrey Wildlife Trust decision and requirement that the Strategic Director ensures the business plan is delivered prevents the possibility of any further scrutiny.
- 5 The following documents in relation to the decision made on 22 March 2016 are attached:
 - Report considered by Cabinet on 22 March 2016 (**Appendix 1**).
 - Cabinet Decision Sheet of 22 March 2016 (**Appendix 2**).
 - The call-in notice received by Democratic Services on 31 March 2016 (**Appendix 3**).
 - Extract from the minutes of the Cabinet meeting of 22 March 2016 (**To follow**)

The Call-in:

- 6 The Board is asked to consider the above evidence together with evidence presented at the call-in meeting in order to review the decision taken by the Cabinet.
- 7 The Board is asked whether or not it wishes to refer the decision back to the Cabinet for reconsideration.
- 8 If the Board decides to refer the decision back to the Cabinet for reconsideration, the Board's concern must be stated along with any proposed recommendations.

Recommendation:

That the Board reviews the decision of the Cabinet regarding the agreement with Surrey Wildlife Trust for the management of the County Council's countryside estate taken on 22 March 2016 and decides whether it wishes to refer the decision back to the Cabinet for reconsideration.

Next steps:

Should the Board decide to support the decision of the Cabinet; the decision will take effect on the date of the meeting.

Should the Board refer the decision back to the Cabinet, a meeting of the Cabinet must be held within seven working days. The Cabinet can then decide to amend the decision or not, before adopting a final decision.

Report contact: Huma Younis, Scrutiny Officer, Democratic Services

Contact details: 020 8213 2725 / huma.younis@surreycc.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank

DATE: 22 MARCH 2016

REPORT OF: MR MIKE GOODMAN, CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND PLANNING

MS DENISE LE GAL, CABINET MEMBER FOR BUSINESS SERVICES AND RESIDENT EXPERIENCE

LEAD OFFICER: TREVOR PUGH, STRATEGIC DIRECTOR, ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE

SUBJECT: THE AGREEMENT WITH SURREY WILDLIFE TRUST FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF THE COUNTY COUNCIL'S COUNTRYSIDE ESTATE

SUMMARY OF ISSUE:

In June 2015 Cabinet approved changes to the operation of the long term Agreement between Surrey County Council (SCC) and Surrey Wildlife Trust (SWT) to manage SCC's Countryside Estate.

These changes have the objective of reducing SCC's financial contribution to nil by 2020/21 (a further saving of over £0.8m per annum), through the development and implementation of a robust business plan, asset management plan and strengthened governance processes.

Detailed discussions with SWT have taken place since June 2015 and this report describes the progress made and seeks Cabinet approval of the necessary next steps that will deliver the above objective. The SWT Council approved the changes on 8 February 2016.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

It is recommended that Cabinet:

1. Notes and endorses the Surrey Wildlife Trust decision and recommendation.
2. Requires the Strategic Director for Environment and Infrastructure, in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Environment and Planning and the Director of Legal, Democratic and Cultural Services, to work with Surrey Wildlife Trust to ensure the business plan is delivered.

REASON FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:

Approval of the recommendations will put in place a mechanism to make the management of the Countryside Estate more efficient whilst improving and maintaining the countryside, delivering improvements for visitors, reducing the Council's financial contribution to nil by December 2021, and agreeing the distribution of any surplus income thereafter.

DETAILS:

1. This report describes the methods by which Surrey County Council (SCC) and Surrey Wildlife Trust (SWT) will implement changes to the operation of the long term Agreement, which include objectives of:
 - Reducing SCC's financial contribution to nil by 2020/21.
 - Managing built properties to maintain acceptable condition and achieve a satisfactory rate of return.
 - Applying robust and effective performance management and governance.
2. The new arrangements will also ensure that the Agreement contributes to the wider objectives of the SCC Corporate Strategy by improving residents' experience, improving health and wellbeing by providing more accessible countryside sites for the public to visit and supporting the economic prosperity of rural businesses.
3. SWT has demonstrated its commitment to the change process by accepting reduced payments from SCC in 2014/15 and 2015/16, in anticipation of agreeing methods to achieve further savings. These changes will provide savings to the Surrey tax payer of approximately £0.8m per year by 2020/21. The SWT Chairman has written to SCC accepting the principles of the proposals. SWT has also strengthened its financial and commercial capability in anticipation of the changes. The SWT Council meeting on 8 February 2016 approved the changes.
4. The Assistant Director - Environment, the Chief Property Officer and the Director of Legal, Democratic and Cultural Services endorse the methods described in paragraphs 6, 7 and 21 below as the most effective method of achieving SCC's objectives and delivering value for money in the management of the Council's Countryside Estate. This endorsement follows detailed consideration of other options available to SCC and the most effective method of clarifying the changes in the terms and operation of the Agreement.
5. The proposed changes to the operation of the Agreement have been discussed with the Economic Prosperity, Environment and Highways (EPEH) Board.
6. **Memorandum of Understanding (MoU)** - A MoU will formalise the commitment of SCC and SWT to work together to make the Countryside Estate financially self sufficient by 31 December 2021 and to agree the basis on which any future surpluses are shared. This will be achieved by adopting the following processes:
 - 6.1 **Five Year Rolling Business Plan** - The Business Plan for the period 2016/17 to 2020/21 has been produced by SWT. It describes the methods by which the financial targets will be achieved by reducing costs, demonstrating efficiencies and generating additional income. The Council will continue to scrutinise the implementation of the overall plan and associated detailed business cases. There is a risk of failure to achieve the financial objectives if business cases do not achieve their planned cost reduction or income targets. This risk is reduced by the development of a wide range of income generation proposals as additional or alternative methods of achieving or exceeding financial objectives.
 - 6.2 **Property Asset Management Plan (PAMP)** - SCC Property Services has carried out a due diligence process on the Stock Condition Survey of

built properties and on the overall management of property on the Countryside Estate. This has involved an internal assessment of the stock condition and an overview of the Estate's potential to generate a better return. The assessment confirms that the PAMP is sound and, with the governance now in place, can be effectively monitored by SCC. Knight Frank were commissioned by SCC Property Services to assess a range of factors relating to SWT's management of the Estate including the PAMP for the built properties, woodland and the governance currently in place. The report concluded that there were some limited areas where SWT could improve, which have now been incorporated in their plans for the built environment, woodland and the 5 year business plan. This provides an effective base for future management of the Estate.

6.3. **Governance Arrangements** -The revised Governance arrangements will be based on:

- Closer joint working between SCC and SWT, recognising the wider objectives and priorities of each body.
- Robust oversight and accountability for strategy, performance and resource management.
- Continuous improvement using revised key performance indicators (KPIs) and metrics.
- Improved delivery mechanisms to drive change.
- Annual reports to SCC Cabinet.
- Annual review by the Economic Prosperity Environment and Highways (EPEH) Board.

7 Strong governance will be supported by robust scrutiny of specific proposals including a Woodland Management Strategy and development of facilities at Newlands Corner.

CONSULTATION:

- 8 Internal consultation has taken place with Finance, Legal Services, Property Services and Procurement. The Cabinet Member for Environment & Planning has been involved throughout the negotiations.
- 9 The EPEH Board and the EPEH Board Member Reference Group have been involved in the development of these proposals.

RISK MANAGEMENT AND IMPLICATIONS:

- 10 The main financial risk is around the success of the business cases put forward each year. This will be a joint process between SCC and SWT, with SCC approving those cases and agreeing that they will provide acceptable savings or income for the following year. Monitoring during the year will quickly highlight any issues and allow the two parties to seek a remedy. A Board will be established to assess the business cases; this will comprise representatives from SCC and SWT.
- 11 The County Council will have access to information that will allow it to assess the effectiveness of the Business Plan, along with monitoring through the governance changes and KPIs. The Business Plan provides the potential for returns to increase through further initiatives, reducing the risk of failure to achieve financial objectives.

- 12 SCC retains its contractual rights under the Agreement allowing it to seek redress and ultimately to terminate the Agreement between SCC and SWT of 2002.
- 13 There is a reputational risk if the partnership fails. This review has shown that the Agreement can be made to work for both parties providing there is collaborative working, robust governance, and clear plans for investment and returns for each party.
- 14 Investment in the properties is essential to keep the assets maintained and to maximise income over the 36 years remaining on the lease; it is a full repairing lease and the terms of the Agreement require that the properties are maintained to a minimum standard comparable to the condition at the inception of the lease. A further condition survey was completed on all of the built properties in 2015 and confirmed that they are in a fair to good condition. This will now form the baseline for all further monitoring. The Property Asset Management Plan has been developed from that survey and will be monitored through the Service Delivery Specification and KPIs to ensure that the land and buildings are properly maintained.

Financial and Value for Money Implications

- 15 The Council's Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP) includes Countryside savings of £0.2m in 2016/17, including reduced support to SWT of £0.1m. The SWT Business Plan shows further savings equating to £675,000 over the next 5 years. Future MTFPs will be adjusted to reflect additional savings and income as proposals are developed. Any investment needed for these plans will be agreed between the parties for each business case. Any investment from SCC would be subject to a robust business case showing how that investment would be repaid, including an assessment of risks, and approval through the Council's normal process, including its Investment Panel. Any decision required by Members would be the subject of future reports.
- 16 SWT has demonstrated that it is committed to making this plan work by delivering savings of £0.2m (£0.1m per annum) in 2014/15 and 2015/16 and by presenting a Business Plan that aims to achieve financial self sufficiency by 2021. The plan is subject to the business opportunities delivering the income projected, and a number of proposals are at an early stage of development and require further work. However, this risk is reduced by the development of a wide range of income generating proposals as alternatives, should the original proposals be delayed or fail to be realised.
- 17 The Property Asset Management Plan will ensure that plans are developed to maximise the benefits from property and ensure adequate financial provision is made for future property repairs and maintenance.

Section 151 Officer Commentary

- 18 SWT have set out in their Business Plan how a financially sustainable position could be reached by 2021, i.e. with no financial contribution from SCC towards the managing of the SCC Countryside Estate. This will require a number of proposals and business cases to be developed and these will be assessed and commented on as they arise. Aside from improving visitor facilities at Newlands Corner, the proposals in the Business Plan have yet to be assessed. A number of proposals are likely to require investment, which could come from a range of sources. Where investment is sought from SCC, this would be subject to the Council's existing approval processes, including detailed assessment by its Investment Panel and further Cabinet or Cabinet Member reports as required. The plan is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties and will require careful monitoring.

Legal Implications – Monitoring Officer

- 19 As set out in paragraph 12, above, the terms of the original Agreement, including the Council's rights to redress and termination, will remain in force. Sitting alongside the Agreement, the MoU will provide clarity in relation to the production of the 5 year business plan and the way in which SWT and SCC will work together to make the SCC Countryside Estate financially self sufficient by 2020/21.
- 20 The changes to the Property Asset Management Plan and the Governance arrangements, previously approved by Cabinet, will be dealt with by way of side letter which will be incorporated into the original Agreement.

Equalities and Diversity

- 21 The Agreement makes appropriate provision for equality and diversity issues in terms of recruitment and public engagement. There are no discernible impacts arising from the changes to the operation of the Agreement at this stage. Equality Impact Assessments will be carried out as improvements arising from the application of new processes are proposed.

Other Implications:

- 22 The potential implications for the following Council's priorities and policy areas have been considered. Where the impact is potentially significant a summary of the issues is set out in detail below.

Area assessed:	Direct Implications:
Corporate Parenting/Looked After Children	No significant implications arising from this report.
Safeguarding responsibilities for vulnerable children and adults	No significant implications arising from this report.
Public Health	The improvements to visitor facilities will make the Countryside Estate more accessible and attractive to a wider demographic and should, therefore, bring benefits to the public health by encouraging more people to venture onto the Countryside Estate and enhancing resident wellbeing.
Climate change	No significant implications arising from this report.
Carbon emissions	The woodland plans will contribute to the better management of our woodlands and development of woodfuel as a heating fuel.

Public Health implications

- 23 It is the aim of both SCC and SWT that, by improving the visitor facilities and making sites more attractive to users, the number of people who take part in regular physical activities will increase.

Regular physical activity reduces the risk of a person developing over 20 medical conditions, including mental health conditions. There is a large body of evidence also highlighting the benefits to a person's mental well-being from accessing green space. The proposed improvements to the visitor's facilities will also make the site more accessible to people living with a disability. Better management of woodlands will impact upon the quality of air and noise in Surrey.

A statement from the Faculty of Public Health in association with Natural England summarises the mounting evidence demonstrating the contribution green spaces can make to mental and physical health and well-being, as well as various social and environmental indicators.

- Contact with green spaces and natural environments can reduce symptoms of poor mental health and stress and can improve mental well-being across all age groups.
- Access to green spaces can increase levels of physical activity for all ages.
- Having green spaces in an area can contribute to reduced health inequalities (both morbidity and mortality).
- Safe, green spaces can increase levels of communal activity across different social groups as well as increase residents' satisfaction with their local area.
- Green spaces can help our response to climate change through their potential to reduce the impacts of heat waves and reduce flooding and reducing CO₂ emissions.
- Green spaces and natural environments can improve air and noise quality and support sustainability through increasing biodiversity, encouraging active transport and community participation.

Climate change/carbon emissions implications

- 24 There are no implications for climate change or carbon emissions from the review itself. Some of the commercial projects that come out of the review may have implications and these will be assessed as part of the project plans. Improved woodland management and sale of local wood through the Norbury Park Wood Products, will lead to reduced transport of fuel and wood as well as encourage better woodland management.

WHAT HAPPENS NEXT:

Next Steps:

- The Strategic Director for Environment and Infrastructure, in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Environment and Planning and the Director of Legal and Democratic Services, enter into a Memorandum of Understanding with SWT under delegated approval from Cabinet and appoint a Board to work with SWT and assess the cost saving and income generating opportunities, and develop them into business cases.

- SWT's Council is required to implement the changes to the operation of the Agreement as described in paragraphs 6 and 7, and the recommendations to this report.

Contact Officer:

Lisa Creaye-Griffin
Countryside Group Manager
Tel: 020 8541 9404

Consulted:

- Surrey Wildlife Trust Trustees
- Surrey Countryside Partnership Committee (The Committee established to steer the Agreement)
- Surrey County Council Cabinet Member for Business Services and Resident Experience – Denise Le Gal
- Economic Prosperity Environment and Highways (EPEH) Board
- Economic Prosperity Environment and Highways (EPEH) Board Member Reference Group

Sources/background papers:

- Cabinet Report 23 June 2015 : The Agreement with Surrey Wildlife Trust for the Management of Surrey County Council's Countryside Estate
- Cabinet Report 20 March 2010 Countryside Contract Review
- Cabinet Report 16 December 2014: The Agreement with Surrey Wildlife Trust for the Management of the County Council's Countryside Estate
- Environment and Transport Select Committee 23 April 2015: The Agreement with Surrey Wildlife Trust for the Management of the County Council's Countryside Estate
- National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) 2013: Physical activity: brief advice for adults in primary care. Public Health Programme Guidance
- Faculty of Public Health in association with Natural England 2010: Great Outdoors: How Our Natural Health Service Uses Green Space to Improve Well-being. Briefing Statement

This page is intentionally left blank

CABINET

The following decisions were taken by the Cabinet on Tuesday, 22 March 2016 and will take effect on 1/04/16 unless the call-in procedure has been triggered. **CALL-IN DEADLINE: 31/3/16.**

The following represents a summary of the decisions taken by the Cabinet. It is not intended to represent the formal record of the meeting but to facilitate the call-in process. The formal minutes will be published in due course to replace this decision sheet.

County Members wishing to request a call-in on any of these matters, should contact the Senior Manager for Scrutiny or relevant Democratic Services Officer.

THE AGREEMENT WITH SURREY WILDLIFE TRUST FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF THE COUNTY COUNCIL'S COUNTRYSIDE ESTATE (Item 10)

1. That the Surrey Wildlife Trust decision and recommendation be noted and endorsed.
2. That the Strategic Director for Environment and Infrastructure, in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Environment and Planning and the Director of Legal, Democratic and Cultural Services be required to work with Surrey Wildlife Trust to ensure the business plan is delivered.

Reasons for Decisions:

Approval of the recommendations will put in place a mechanism to make the management of the Countryside Estate more efficient whilst improving and maintaining the countryside, delivering improvements for visitors, reducing the Council's financial contribution to nil by December 2021, and agreeing the distribution of any surplus income thereafter.

[The decisions on this item can be called in by the Economic Prosperity, Environment and Highways Scrutiny Board]

This page is intentionally left blank

Form to call in a decision – please complete all fields marked *

If you require any assistance, please contact Democratic Services on 020 8541 9122.

Your Details

First Name *

Surname *

Decision-making body *

Decision taken *

Date decision taken *

Reason(s) for calling in the decision

Desired outcome

Identified evidence

Desired Witnesses

Member calling in decision

Member *

Date of call-in	31 March 2016
Member	Cllr George Johnson
Member	Cllr Michael Bennison
Committee responsible for examining this decision	

Economic Prosperity, Environment and highways Board

Call-in by Select Committee

Select Committees have the power to call in decisions made, but not yet implemented, by the Cabinet and/or local committees if they feel that the decision is inappropriate. Implementation will be delayed while the Select Committee meets.

A decision can be 'called in' for scrutiny by the Chairman or Vice-Chairman of the relevant Committee or by any three or more Committee members from more than one political party. A decision must be 'called in' within five days of publication of the decision by the Cabinet and/or local committees (decisions must be published within three working days of the Cabinet and/or local committee meeting). The Chairman of the Select Committee must then call a meeting of the Committee within another ten working days.

The Select Committee can interview the Cabinet Member and/or Council officers and make recommendations to the decision-maker suggesting improvements to the decision.

Issues to consider when deciding whether to call in a decision:

Has the Cabinet adequately taken account of the appropriate Select Committee's views?

Can the query be satisfied without a call-in?

Is call-in constitutionally possible (e.g. Is the issue a Cabinet decision)?

Can you build the case for a call-in? You will need to work with the Scrutiny Officer for the Committee to identify evidence and plan an approach.

Call-in of Local Committee decisions by Cabinet

The Cabinet can call in decisions made by a local committee that have a significant policy or budgetary implication. The Leader, Deputy Leader or any three or more members of the Cabinet may call in a decision within five days of its publication by the local committee. The call-in will be discussed at the next appropriate meeting of the Cabinet (in discussion with the local committee chairman) with no action being taken on the decision in the meantime. The local committee chairman may attend the Cabinet meeting and speak on the item. The Cabinet may choose to accept, reject or amend the decision of the local committee.